CII Status: SMO

Basics (12 Points)

	-	0		
Criteria	R	Result / Proof point		
Identification				
What is the human-readable name of the project?	M et	OSC Service Management and Orchestration (SMO)		
What is a brief description of the project?	M et	The primary goal of the SMO project is to creating a fully functional open-source Service Management and Orchestration (SMO) that is able to orchestrate and manage Network Functions defined by O-RAN based on the use cases defined by O-RAN Alliance WGs.		
What is the URL for the project (as a whole)?	M et	Service Management and Orchestration (SMO)		
What is the URL for the version control repository (it may be the same as the project URL)?	M et	Gerrit https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/smo		
What programming language(s) are used to implement the project?	M et	Mostly Python		
What is the Common Platform Enumeration (CPE) name for the project (if it has one)?	U n m et	N/A		
Basic project website content				
The project website MUST succinctly describe what the software does (what problem does it solve?	M et	Service Management and Orchestration (SMO)		
The project website MUST provide information on how to: obtain, provide feedback (as bug reports or enhancements), and contribute to the software.	M et	Tutorial: Making code contributions to O-RAN open source project		
The information on how to contribute MUST explain the contribution process (e. g., are pull requests used?) (URL required)	M et	Tutorial: Making code contributions to O-RAN open source project		
The information on how to contribute SHOULD include the requirements for acceptable contributions (e.g., a reference to any required coding standard). (URL required)	M et	Tutorial: Making code contributions to O-RAN open source project		
FLOSS license				
What license(s) is the project released under?	M et	Apache 2.0		
The software produced by the project MUST be released as FLOSS.	M et	Apache 2.0		
It is SUGGESTED that any required license(s) for the software produced by the project be approved by the Open Source Initiative (OSI).	M et	Apache 2.0		
The project MUST post the license(s) of its results in a standard location in their source repository.	M et	Apache 2.0		
Documentation				
The project MUST provide basic documentation for the software produced by the project.	U n m et			
The project MUST provide reference documentation that describes the external interface (both input and output) of the software produced by the project.	U n m			

Other		
The project sites (website, repository, and download URLs) MUST support HTTPS using TLS.	M et	All support HTTPS
The project MUST have one or more mechanisms for discussion (including proposed changes and issues) that are searchable, allow messages and topics to be addressed by URL, enable new people to participate in some of the discussions, and do not require client-side installation of proprietary software.	Met	Meetings (Weekly): Meetings Jira: https://jira.o-ran-sc.org/projects/SMO/issues Email List: OSC "discuss" mailing list: https://lists.o-ran-sc.org/g/discuss: discuss@lists.o-ran-sc.org Please use hashtag #smo Discussions: Discussions
The project SHOULD provide documentation in English and be able to accept bug reports and comments about code in English.	M et	 All documentation is in English Bugs and Feedback are accepted by: OSC "discuss" mailing list: https://lists.o-ran-sc.org/g/discuss: discuss @lists.o-ran-sc.org Please use hashtag #smo Jira: https://jira.o-ran-sc.org/projects/SMO/issues OSC Guidelines: https://wiki.o-ran-sc.org/display/ORAN/Reporting+Bugs

Change Control (9 Points)

	SM	IO	
Criteria		Result / Proof point	
Public version-controlled source repository			
The project MUST have a version-controlled source repository that is publicly readable and has a URL.	Met	https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/smo A1: https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/smo/a1 App: https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/smo/ap O1: https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/smo/o1 O2: https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/smo/o2 VES: https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/smo/o2	

Unique version numbering		
		VES: https://gerrit. o-ran-sc.org/r /admin/repos/smo /ves
		O2: https://gerrit.o- ran-sc.org/r/admin /repos/smo/o2
		O1: https://gerrit.o- ran-sc.org/r/admin /repos/smo/o1
		App: https://gerrit. o-ran-sc.org/r /admin/repos/smo /app
		A1: https://gerrit.o- ran-sc.org/r/admin /repos/smo/a1
It is SUGGESTED that common distributed version control software be used (e.g., git) for the project's source repository.	M et	https://gerrit.o-ran- sc.org/r/admin /repos/smo
		VES: https://gerrit. o-ran-sc.org/r /admin/repos/smo /ves
		O2: https://gerrit.o- ran-sc.org/r/admin /repos/smo/o2
		O1: https://gerrit.o- ran-sc.org/r/admin /repos/smo/o1
		App: https://gerrit. o-ran-sc.org/r /admin/repos/smo /app
		A1: https://gerrit.o- ran-sc.org/r/admin /repos/smo/a1
To enable collaborative review, the project's source repository MUST include interim versions for review between releases; it MUST NOT include only final releases.	M et	https://gerrit.o-ran- sc.org/r/admin /repos/smo
		VES: https://gerrit. o-ran-sc.org/r /admin/repos/smo /ves
		O2: https://gerrit.o- ran-sc.org/r/admin /repos/smo/o2
		O1: https://gerrit.o- ran-sc.org/r/admin /repos/smo/o1
		App: https://gerrit. o-ran-sc.org/r /admin/repos/smo /app
		A1: https://gerrit.o- ran-sc.org/r/admin /repos/smo/a1
The project's source repository MUST track what changes were made, who made the changes, and when the changes were made.	M et	https://gerrit.o-ran- sc.org/r/admin /repos/smo

The project results MUST have a unique version identifier for each release intended to be used by users	M et	As can be seen in OSC repositories https://nexus3.o-ran-sc.org/ (LF sign-in required to browse) • Maven • Docker
It is SUGGESTED that the Semantic Versioning (SemVer) format be used for releases.	Met	As can be seen in OSC repositories https://nexus3.o-ran-sc.org/ (LF sign-in required to browse) • Maven • Docker Also seen in Release notes: • https://docs.o-ran-sc.org/projects/o-ran-sc-nontric/en/latest/release-notes.html
It is SUGGESTED that projects identify each release within their version control system. For example, it is SUGGESTED that those using git identify each release using git tags.	M et	https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/smo A1: https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/smo/a1 App: https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/smo/app O1: https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/smo/o1 O2: https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/smo/o2 VES: https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/smo/o2 VES: https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/smo/ves
Release notes		
The project MUST provide, in each release, release notes that are a human-readable summary of major changes in that release to help users determine if they should upgrade and what the upgrade impact will be. The release notes MUST NOT be the raw output of a version control log (e.g., the "git log" command results are not release notes). Projects whose results are not intended for reuse in multiple locations (such as the software for a single website or service) AND employ continuous delivery MAY select "N/A". (URL required)	M et	
The release notes MUST identify every publicly known vulnerability with a CVE assignment or similar that is fixed in each new release, unless users typically cannot practically update the software themselves. If there are no release notes or there have been no publicly known vulnerabilities, choose "not applicable" (N/A).	U n m et	N/A
Reporting (8 Points)		

SMO	
-----	--

Criteria	R	Result / Proof point /Notes		
Bug-reporting process				
The project MUST provide a process for users to submit bug reports (e.g., using an issue tracker or a mailing list). (URL required)	y es	Tools (mailing list, JIRA, Gerrit)		
The project SHOULD use an issue tracker for tracking individual issues.	y es	JIRA		
The project MUST acknowledge a majority of bug reports submitted in the last 2-12 months (inclusive); the response need not include a fix.	y es	TODO		
The project SHOULD respond to a majority (>50%) of enhancement requests in the last 2-12 months (inclusive).	y es	TODO		
The project MUST have a publicly available archive for reports and responses for later searching. (URL required)	no		Governance 2021-02-19 Depends on previous two criterion As part of release checklist store the snapshot copy of the reports of previous two criterion into wiki page. RC-3 in Release criteria checklist template	
Vulnerability report process				
The project MUST publish the process for reporting vulnerabilities on the project site. (URL required)	y es		Governance 2021-02-19 Jira issues will need to be labelled for security bugs.	
If private vulnerability reports are supported, the project MUST include how to send the information in a way that is kept private. (URL required) Examples include a private defect report submitted on the web using HTTPS (TLS) or an email encrypted using OpenPGP. If vulnerability reports are always public (so there are never private vulnerability reports), choose "not applicable" (N/A).	N /A		Governance 2021-02-19 NA (We don't support private vulnerability)	
The project's initial response time for any vulnerability report received in the last 6 months MUST be less than or equal to 14 days. If there have been no vulnerabilities reported in the last 6 months, choose "not applicable" (N/A).	no		Governance 2021-02-19 JIRA Report & Release checklist as criteria RC-3 in Release criteria checklist template	

Quality (13 Points)

	Pro	ject A		
Criteria	Re	Result / Proof point		
Working build system				
If the software produced by the project requires building for use, the project MUST provide a working build system that can automatically rebuild the software from source code.	M et	Make		
It is SUGGESTED that common tools be used for building the software.	M et	Make		
The project SHOULD be buildable using only FLOSS tools.	M et			
Automated test suite				
The project MUST use at least one automated test suite that is publicly released as FLOSS (this test suite may be maintained as a separate FLOSS project).	M et	Test suite to be added		
A test suite SHOULD be invocable in a standard way for that language. For example, "make check", "mvn test", or "rake test" (Ruby).	M et	"make test" to be added Postman scripts		

It is SUGGESTED that the test suite cover most (or ideally all) the code branches, input fields, and functionality.	M et	The test suite will cover the code branch, and all possible input values and functionality. Tests will include suites developed as part of OAM repository. • https://gerrit.o-ran-sc.org/r/admin/repos/oam
It is SUGGESTED that the project implement continuous integration (where new or changed code is frequently integrated into a central code repository and automated tests are run on the result).	In Pr o gr ess	Jenkins will be used to provide CI/CD.
New functionality testing		
The project MUST have a general policy (formal or not) that as major new functionality is added to the software produced by the project, tests of that functionality should be added to an automated test suite. As long as a policy is in place, even by word of mouth, that says developers should add tests to the automated test suite for major new functionality, select "Met.	M et	All new and existing functionality will be tested as part of the CI/CD pipleline. See above.
The project MUST have evidence that the test_policy for adding tests has been adhered to in the most recent major changes to the software produced by the project. Major functionality would typically be mentioned in the release notes. Perfection is not required, merely evidence that tests are typically being added in practice to the automated test suite when new major functionality is added to the software produced by the project.	In Pr o gr ess	All new and existing functionality will be tested as part of CI/CD. See above. New test functionality will be tracked in Jira and Gerrit.
It is SUGGESTED that this policy on adding tests (see test_policy) be documented in the instructions for change proposals. However, even an informal rule is acceptable as long as the tests are being added in practice.	M et	Only informal rules exist. In practice tests will be continuously added.
Warning flags		
The project MUST enable one or more compiler warning flags, a "safe" language mode, or use a separate "linter" tool to look for code quality errors or common simple mistakes, if there is at least one FLOSS tool that can implement this criterion in the selected language.		
The project MUST address warnings.	M et	As per RC-5
It is SUGGESTED that projects be maximally strict with warnings in the software produced by the project, where practical.	M et	The project is inspected for warnings and addressed as part of the commit.
Some warnings cannot be effectively enabled on some projects. What is needed is evidence that the project is striving to enable warning flags where it can, so that errors are detected early.		

Security (16 Points)

	Project A		
Criteria		esult / roof oint	
Secure development knowledge			
The project MUST have at least one primary developer who knows how to design secure software. (See 'details' for the exact requirements.)	Yes	The PTL and many contrib utors to the code are trained for develo ping secure software	

At least one of the project's primary developers MUST know of common kinds of errors that lead to vulnerabilities in this kind of software, as well as at least one method to counter or mitigate each of them.	Yes	The PTL and many contrib utors to the code are trained for develo ping secure software
Use basic good cryptographic practices		
The software produced by the project MUST use, by default, only cryptographic protocols and algorithms that are publicly published and reviewed by experts (if cryptographic protocols and algorithms are used). These cryptographic criteria do not always apply because some software has no need to directly use cryptographic capabilities.	Y es	The project uses TLS to secure the protoco Is used as part of the project
If the software produced by the project is an application or library, and its primary purpose is not to implement cryptography, then it SHOULD only call on software specifically designed to implement cryptographic functions; it SHOULD NOT re-implement its own.	Y es	
All functionality in the software produced by the project that depends on cryptography MUST be implementable using FLOSS. See the Open Standards Requirement for Software by the Open Source Initiative.	Y es	
The security mechanisms within the software produced by the project MUST use default keylengths that at least meet the NIST minimum requirements through the year 2030 (as stated in 2012). It MUST be possible to configure the software so that smaller keylengths are completely disabled. These minimum bitlengths are: symmetric key 112, factoring modulus 2048, discrete logarithm key 224, discrete logarithmic group 2048, elliptic curve 224, and hash 224 (password hashing is not covered by this bitlength, more information on password hashing can be found in the crypto_password_storage criterion). See https://www.keylength.com for a comparison of keylength recommendations from various organizations. The software MAY allow smaller keylengths in some configurations (ideally it would not, since this allows downgrade attacks, but shorter keylengths are sometimes necessary for interoperability).	Y es	
The default security mechanisms within the software produced by the project MUST NOT depend on broken cryptographic algorithms (e. g., MD4, MD5, single DES, RC4, Dual_EC_DRBG), or use cipher modes that are inappropriate to the context, unless they are necessary to implement an interoperable protocol (where the protocol implemented is the most recent version of that standard broadly supported by the network ecosystem, that ecosystem requires the use of such an algorithm or mode, and that ecosystem does not offer any more secure alternative). The documentation MUST describe any relevant security risks and any known mitigations if these broken algorithms or modes are necessary for an interoperable protocol.	Y es	
The default security mechanisms within the software produced by the project SHOULD NOT depend on cryptographic algorithms or modes with known serious weaknesses (e.g., the SHA-1 cryptographic hash algorithm or the CBC mode in SSH).	Y es	
The security mechanisms within the software produced by the project SHOULD implement perfect forward secrecy for key agreement protocols so a session key derived from a set of long-term keys cannot be compromised if one of the long-term keys is compromised in the future.	Y es	
If the software produced by the project causes the storing of passwords for authentication of external users, the passwords MUST be stored as iterated hashes with a per-user salt by using a key stretching (iterated) algorithm (e.g., Argon2id, Bcrypt, Scrypt, or PBKDF2). See also OWASP Password Storage Cheat Sheet).	Y es	The softwar e does not use passwords
The security mechanisms within the software produced by the project MUST generate all cryptographic keys and nonces using a cryptographically secure random number generator, and MUST NOT do so using generators that are cryptographically insecure.	Y es	
Secured delivery against man-in-the-middle (MITM) attacks		
The project MUST use a delivery mechanism that counters MITM attacks. Using https or ssh+scp is acceptable.	Y es	The project uses HTTPS and SSH.

A cryptographic hash (e.g., a sha1sum) MUST NOT be retrieved over http and used without checking for a cryptographic signature.	Y es	
Publicly known vulnerabilities fixed		
There MUST be no unpatched vulnerabilities of medium or higher severity that have been publicly known for more than 60 days.	Y es	The project uses the latest version of Ubuntu
Projects SHOULD fix all critical vulnerabilities rapidly after they are reported.	Y es	
Other security issues		
The public repositories MUST NOT leak a valid private credential (e.g., a working password or private key) that is intended to limit public access. A project MAY leak "sample" credentials for testing and unimportant databases, as long as they are not intended to limit public access.	Y es	

Analysis (8 Points)

Criteria	Project A
	Result / Proof point
Static code analysis	
At least one static code analysis tool (beyond compiler warnings and "safe" language modes) MUST be applied to any proposed major production release of the software before its release, if there is at least one FLOSS tool that implements this criterion in the selected language.	Unm et
It is SUGGESTED that at least one of the static analysis tools used for the static_analysis criterion include rules or approaches to look for common vulnerabilities in the analyzed language or environment.	Unm et
All medium and higher severity exploitable vulnerabilities discovered with static code analysis MUST be fixed in a timely way after they are confirmed.	Unm et
It is SUGGESTED that static source code analysis occur on every commit or at least daily.	Unm et
Dynamic code analysis	
It is SUGGESTED that at least one dynamic analysis tool be applied to any proposed major production release of the software before its release.	Unm et
It is SUGGESTED that if the software produced by the project includes software written using a memory-unsafe language (e.g., C or C++), then at least one dynamic tool (e.g., a fuzzer or web application scanner) be routinely used in combination with a mechanism to detect memory safety problems such as buffer overwrites. If the project does not produce software written in a memory-unsafe language, choose "not applicable" (N/A).	N/A
It is SUGGESTED that the software produced by the project include many run-time assertions that are checked during dynamic analysis.	Unm et
All medium and higher severity exploitable vulnerabilities discovered with dynamic code analysis MUST be fixed in a timely way after they are confirmed.	Unm et